

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,174	08/05/2003	Leonard Forbes	1303.102US1	6342	
. 75	590 03/15/2004		EXAM	INER	
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			TRAN,	TRAN, TAN N	
Attn: Marvin L. P.O. Box 2938	. Beekman		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, N	IN 55402		2826	···	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>U</i>	$\sim$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/634,174	FORBES, LEONARD	ı		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		TAN N TRAN	2826			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence addre	)SS		
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, ensions of time may be available under the provisions of a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO II, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 05 August 2003.				
2a)□		)⊠ This action is non-final.		•		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims		·			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the app	plication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the B	Examiner.				
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for D All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the priority do Copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the International Copies of the certified copies of application from the International Copies of the certified copies of application from the International Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the International Copies of the certified copies	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age		
Attachmen	• •	<b></b> .				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	· —	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/634,174

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Election/Restrictions**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.
  - I. Claims 26-35,42-45, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 516.
  - II. Claims 1-25,36-41 drawn to a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 210.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, because the device of Group I invention could be made by a process materially different from that of the Group II invention. For example, the process of claim 1 can be materially altered by using a punching press method instead of undercutting method in order to form a recess in the substrate.

In the case that Group I is elected, this group of claims has following patentably distinct species of the disclosed invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the first species, Claims 26-35 drawn to a semiconductor device as shown in fig. 4E.

The second species, Claims 42-45, drawn to a semiconductor device as taught in the Specification on page 24 lines 6-24, and in fig. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/634,174

Art Unit: 2826

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-

extensive and separate examination would be require, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an 4.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

FR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner 5.

should be directed to Tan Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1923. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TT

Mar 2004

demblom tom

Page 4

Minhloan Tran **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2826